IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 3746/2004

PETITIONERS :-

1. Ranjeet Banerji S/o Shri R.N. Banarji, aged about 40 years, President of 'Jangapana Karmchari Santhan, Chhattisgarh' R/o. E/60 Agyeya Nagar, Bilaspur (C.G.).

2. Subhas Chandra Daharwal S/o. Amrit Lal Daharwal, aged about 40 years, R/o. Vill. Chhattouna, Tah. Takhatpur, Distt.Bilaspur.

P.R. No. 3683/04 dated 04/8/04 (Presented by Shri Manoj Sharma)

RECEIVED COPY 4/8/04 Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through - Chief Secretary D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.).

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA
(PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION)

(79)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 3746 of 2004

Petitioners : Ranjeet Banarji & another

Vcrsus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh

Shri J. K. Shastri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri A. S. Kachwaha, Government Advocate for the respondent.

O R D E R

(9th November, 2006)

1. The petitioners have preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking relief that the respondent may be directed to absorb the petitioners in any vacant appropriate available post or to work out a scheme to absorb the petitioners in appropriate employment.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were appointed in the Census Department for specified duration and they were retrenched by the concerned authority on the ground of winding up of the regional tabulation office. The State Government has issued various guidelines by way of circulars for consideration of the cases of similarly situated employees. He further submits that the cases of the petitioners are squarely covered by the decision of this Court dated 27.4.2006 passed in W.P.No. 2046/2006 (Punni Lal Yadav & others Vs. State of

(80)

Chhattisgarh & another), to which learned counsel appearing for the respondent has no objection.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the papers appended to the petition, this Court is of the opinion that this petition can be disposed of in terms of the order dated 27.4.2006 passed by this Court in W.P.No. 2046/2006 (supra).

4. The petition is disposed of accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur